# Florida State University College of Law

# Scholarship Repository

Staff Analysis

Florida Legislative Documents

1989

# Session Law 89-118

Florida Senate & House of Representatives

Follow this and additional works at: https://ir.law.fsu.edu/staff-analysis



Part of the Legislation Commons

# **Recommended Citation**

House of Representatives, Florida Senate &, "Session Law 89-118" (1989). Staff Analysis. 1007. https://ir.law.fsu.edu/staff-analysis/1007

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Florida Legislative Documents at Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Staff Analysis by an authorized administrator of Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact efarrell@law.fsu.edu.

06/26/89

I L

H I S T O R

S 641 GENERAL BILL by Thurman (Similar H 879)  Counties/Public Defender: requires counties within each judicial circuit to pro-							
vide for communication services for public defender. Amends 27.54. Effective							
Date: 10/01/89.							
03/24/89	SENATE	Prefiled					
		Introduced, referred to Judiciary-Civil; Community Affairs; Appropriations -SJ 99					
04/14/89	SENATE	Extension of time granted Committee Judiciary-Civil					
	SENATE						
04/25/89	SENATE						
04/26/89	SENATE	Now in Community Affairs -SJ 211					
04/27/89	SENATE	On Committee agenda—Community Affairs, 05/01/89, 3:00 pm, Room—C—(LL—32)					
04/28/89	SENATE						
05/01/89	SENATE						
05/02/89	SENATE						
05/03/89	SENATE	Extension of time granted Committee Appropriations					
	SENATE						
05/25/89	SENATE	Placed on Special Order Calendar -SJ 449; Passed; YEAS 38 NAYS 0 -SJ 462					
05/26/89	HOUSE	In Messages					
06/01/89	HOUSE	Received, placed on Calendar; Read second time; Read third time; Passed; YEAS 106 NAYS 2 -HJ 1067					
06/01/89		Ordered enrolled -SJ 691					
06/12/89		Signed by Officers and presented to Governor					
22122122							

Approved by Governor; Chapter No. 89-118

\* 420

NOTES: Above bill history from Division of Legislative Information's FINAL LEGISLATIVE BILL INFORMATION, 1989 SESSIONS. Staff Analyses for bills amended beyond final committee action may not be in accordance with the enacted law. Journal page numbers (HJ & SJ) refer to daily Journals and may not be the same as final bound Journals.

REVISED:		BILL NO. SB 641	<u>L</u> .
DATE:	April 27, 1989	Page 1	

#### SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR		REFERENCE	ACTION
1. Lang 2. Buck OS 3.	Smawley Buck OS	1. 2. 3. 4.	JCI CA AP	Favorable
SUBJECT:			BILL NO. AND	SPONSOR:
Public Defenders			SB 641 by Senator Thurman	

#### I. SUMMARY:

#### A. Present Situation:

The counties within a state attorney's judicial circuit are to provide him with, among other things, "telephone service" and "communication services", except as otherwise provided in the General Appropriations Act. s. 27.34, F.S. (1988 Supp.).

The counties within a public defender's judicial circuit are to provide him with, among other things, "telephone services". s. 27.54, F.S. (1988 Supp.). No specific reference is made to the provision of "communication services" by the counties.

#### B. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill specifically would provide that counties are to furnish communication services to the public defender.

### II. ECONOMIC IMPACT AND FISCAL NOTE:

A. Public:

None.

#### B. Government:

Counties would be required to provide communication services to public defenders. The costs are indeterminable.

Depending upon the level of imposition and collection of additional court costs pursuant to s. 27.3455(1), F.S. (1988 Supp.), counties could be reimbursed for communication services expenditures. s. 27.3455(6)(a), F.S. (1988 Supp.).

#### III. COMMENTS:

The public defenders state they have experienced some problems in getting counties to pay for data processing lines for office automation, fax lines, beepers, etc., because the county has taken the position that such expenses are not within the meaning of the term "telephone services" under s. 27.54, F.S. (1988 Supp.).

Chapter 27, F.S., does not define "communication services." The Attorney General has stated that

Expenses are properly classified as "communication services" pursuant to s. 27.34(2), F.S., where such expenses are for services and systems to facilitate the giving of information and sharing of knowledge among employees of the offices of the state attorney and between any particular state attorney's office and other offices and would further include expenses for means and systems for facilitating such communication but would

REVISED: BILL NO. SB 641

DATE: April 27, 1989

not include office equipment as distinguished from services and systems to permit the efficient use of such equipment.

Page 2

Op. Att'y. Gen. Fla. 87-3 at 7-8(1987). The Attorney General was of the opinion that computer terminals would not fall within the meaning of the term "communications services."  $\underline{\text{Id.}}$  at 7.

# IV. AMENDMENTS:

None.

\*\*AS PASSED BY THE 1989 LEGISLATURE\*\*

STORAGE NAME: s0641.cj DATE: June 30, 1989

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL #: SB 641

RELATING TO: Public Defender/Communication Services

SPONSOR(S): Senator Thurman

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 1989

DATE BECAME LAW: June 26, 1989

CHAPTER #: 89-118, Laws of Florida

COMPANION BILL(S): HB 879

OTHER COMMITTEES OF REFERENCE: (1) Judiciary-Civil

(2) Community Affairs

(3) Appropriations

\*

#### I. SUMMARY:

#### A. PRESENT SITUATION:

Section 27.54, F. S., provides that counties are required to provide the public defenders with office space, utilities, telephone services and custodial services as necessary for the proper and efficient function of the office, except as provided in the General Appropriations Act.

The counties are reimbursed for public defender and state attorney expenditures made by counties, except for office space, utilities, or custodial services, out of the Local Government Criminal Justice Trust Fund, which is funded by court costs imposed pursuant to s. 27.3455, F. S.

# B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This bill would require the counties to provide communication services to the public defenders within their judicial circuits.

#### C. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1 would require counties to pay for communication services for public defenders, which expenditures are reimbursed by the Local Government Criminal Justice Trust Fund.

Section 2 provides an effective date of upon becoming a law.

STORAGE NAME: s0641.cj DATE: June 30, 1989

PAGE: 2

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:
  - Non-recurring or First Year Start-Up Effects:

None

2. Recurring or Annualized Continuation Effects:

None

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None

4. Appropriations Consequences:

See Fiscal Comments

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:
  - 1. Non-recurring or First Year Start-Up Effects:

None

2. Recurring or Annualized Continuation Effects:

Communication services costs are reimbursable to each county from s. 27.3455 fees.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None

- C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:
  - 1. Direct Private Sector Costs:

None

2. Direct Private Sector Benefits:

None

3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise, and Employment Markets:

None

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Each county can reimburse itself through the collection of s. 27.3455 fees that last year amounted to almost \$12 million statewide. With anticipated growth of these fees, any additional costs should be easily absorbed and each county reimburse itself

STORAGE NAME: s0641.cj DATE: June 30, 1989

PAGE: 3

for these expenses, according to the Public Defenders Association.

# III. LONG RANGE CONSEQUENCES:

# IV. COMMENTS:

This bill is consistent with the Committee Mission Statement in that it allows the criminal justice system to operate effectively and responsibly.

According to the Public Defenders Association, during FY 88-89, various public defender offices experienced problems with some of their respective counties paying for data processing lines for office automation, fax lines, beepers, etc., because of their counties' interpretation that communication expenses are not allowed telephone costs. This bill will clarify that these expenses are to be paid by the counties given the expanded usage of the telephone and its lines, coupled with today's new office communication tools. Under s. 27.34, F. S., each county is required to provide communication services to the offices of the State Attorney statewide.

# V. SIGNATURES:

Prepared by:	Staff Director:
Susan G. Bisbee	Robin S. Hassler
SECOND COMMITTEE OF REFERENCE: Prepared by:	Staff Director:
APPROPRIATIONS: Prepared by:	Staff Director: